

EXHIBIT C

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

NETLIST, INC., (CAUSE NO. 2:22-CV-203-JRG
)
Plaintiff, ()
vs. ()
MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC., ()
et al., () MARSHALL, TEXAS
(OCTOBER 23, 2023
Defendants.) 9:00 A.M.

MOTION HEARING

BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROY S. PAYNE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

SHAWN McROBERTS, RMR, CRR
100 E. HOUSTON STREET
MARSHALL, TEXAS 75670
(903) 923-8546
shawn_mcroberts@txed.uscourts.gov

1 for this identification as well.

2 THE COURT: And it was the HBM2e that I understood
3 was being dropped.

4 MR. RUECKHEIM: Correct, Your Honor. They don't
5 have -- my understanding is they don't have any accusations
6 against any HBM2 product, 'e' or otherwise, but I can let them
7 speak to that.

8 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Sheasby?

9 MR. SHEASBY: We are, in fact, not proceeding with
10 the '339 and '506 Patents at this time, Your Honor.

11 As to HBM2e, the HBM2e product is not in the reports
12 because the information to do the search was not available,
13 and so it is an accurate statement that there is no allegation
14 against HBM2e. One of the reasons for that is I think of
15 insufficiency of the documents that have been produced. But
16 that is a true statement. We'd have to seek leave if -- lots
17 of things would have to occur. As of now, HBM2e is not
18 accused.

19 THE COURT: All right.

20 MR. SHEASBY: That I acknowledge.

21 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

22 I will grant the motion to compel at Docket 146, to the
23 extent of the customer contacts for the 14 customers that were
24 just indicated.

25 At this point, I will take up your next motion now, and